Prenatal Progesterone for Preventing Preterm Birth



## Safe Deliveries Project Partnership

- Colorado Hospital Association
- Anthem Blue Cross and Blue Shield Foundation
- March of Dimes Colorado/Wyoming Chapter
- Colorado Perinatal Care Quality
   Collaborative

Thomas J. Garite, M.D.

I have no conflicts of interest to disclose.



## Impact of Prematurity

- 300,000 premature births/year
- Accounts for 60-70% of perinatal mortality
- Accounts for about 2/3 of cerebral palsy
- Accounts for 50% of total \$\$ spent on all of obstetric and newborn care in the U.S.
- Probably now most common reason for antepartum hospitalization
- No impact since records have been kept, rate is actually rising in the past 5-10 years

# What does not work to prevent prematurity in at risk patients

#### Singletons

- Bed rest
- Prophylactic tocolytics
- Home uterine
- Baby ASA
- Antibiotics
- Risk Scoring and intensive antenatal surveillance

#### Twins

- Bed rest
- Cervical cerclage (routine)
- Multifetal reduction

Progesterone as an option for the prevention of prematurity









## Progesterone

- Critical in the activation of Estrogen to continue the cascade leading to labor is the reduction in the inhibitory effects of progesterone
- Unlike estrogen, the only apparent reason for the massive production of progesterone by the placenta is to inhibit the rapidly expanding uterus from going into labor prematurely
- Removal of progesterone in animals leads to the onset of labor

## Physiologic Effects of Progesterone which allows labor inhibition

- Inhibits oxytocin activation of myometrium
- Directly inhibits prostaglandin production
- Decreases myometrial excitation
- Inhibition of gap junction formation







|                 | Year | Risk Factor                   | 17Pc Dose | Frequency | Rx Start      | Rx Stop |
|-----------------|------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| Johnson         | 1975 | 2 or more PTBs<br>and/or SABs | 250 mg    | Weekly    | At<br>booking | 37w     |
| Papiernik       | 1970 | High PTB risk<br>score        | 250 mg    | Q 3 days  | 28-32w        | 8 doses |
| Hartikaini<br>n | 1980 | Twins                         | 250 mg    | Weekly    | 28-33w        | 37w     |
| Yemini          | 1985 | 2 or more PTBs<br>and/or SABs | 250 mg    | Weekly    | At<br>booking | 37w     |
| Levine          | 1964 | 3 or more SABs                | 500 mg    | Weekly    | < 16w         | 36w     |



## Prevention of Preterm Birth with 17Pc, Meta-Analysis



### The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE June 24, 2003

#### Prevention of Recurrent Preterm Delivery by alpha 17 hydroxy Progesterone Caproate

Paul J. Meis, Mark Klebanoff, Elizabeth Thom, Mitchell Dombrowski, Baha Sabai, Atef Moawad, Catherine Spong, John Hauth, Menachem Miodovnik, Michael Warner, Kenneth Leveno, Steve Caritis, Jay Jams, Ronald Wapner, Deborah Conway, Mary J. O'Sullivan, Marshall Carpenter, Brian Mercer, Susan Ramin, John Thorp, Alan Peaceman for the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Linis Network

## Multicenter RCT of Progesterone

- All patients with h/o previous preterm delivery due to PTL or PPROM
- Randomized between 15 and 20 3/7 weeks
- Singletons only
- Given trial placebo injection
- Started on weekly IM 17alpha OH Progesterone Caproate or placebo (2:1 randomization) until 36 weeks.

## Multi-center RCT of Progesterone

- Randomized 463 women (310 Prog., 153 Plac.)
- Preterm Delivery:
  - 36% Progesterone
- 55% Placebo (P < 0.001)</li> Delivery before 35 weeks
  - 21% Progesterone
  - 31% Placebo (P= 0.02)
- Delivery before 32 weeks
  - 11% Progesterone
     20% Placebo (P= 0.02)
- Borderline statistically significant reduction in neonatal death, ventilatory support, IVH, any O2 requirement

Fonseca et al: Prophylactic administration of progesterone by vaginal suppository to reduce the incidence of spontaneous preterm birth in women at increased risk: A randomized placebo-controlled double-blind trial: Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;18:

- Randomized patients with previous spont. preterm delivery, cerclage, uterine anomaly
- 100 mg progesterone suppositories or placebo every night from 24 to 34 weeks
- 142 patients randomize (70 Prog vs. 72 Plac.)

Fonseca et al: Prophylactic administration of progesterone by vaginal suppository to reduce the incidence of spontaneous preterm birth in women at increased risk: A randomized placebo-controlled double-blind trial: Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;18:

#### Results

- Preterm Delivery
  - Progesterone 14%
  - Placebo 29% (p= 0.03)
- Delivery < 34 weeks</p>
  - Progesterone 3%
  - Placebo 19% (p= 0.002)
- Admitted for Preterm Labor
  - Progesterone 19%
  - Placebo 31%

## Progestins for History of PTB Recent Trials, PTB < 32 or <34 weeks



## Short Cervix – What to Do?







One Solution



## Cerclage for Short Cervix Meta-analysis of 4 Randomized Trials

| PTB < 35w<br>Cerclage<br>26% | PTB <35w<br>No<br>Cerclage<br>33% | RR<br>0.76         |   |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| 26%                          | 33%                               | 0.76               |   |
|                              |                                   |                    |   |
| 23%                          | 39%                               | 0.61*              |   |
| 75%                          | 36%                               | 2.15*              |   |
|                              |                                   |                    |   |
|                              | 23%<br>75%                        | 23% 39%<br>75% 36% | 23%         39%         0.61*           75%         36%         2.15* |



Effect of Vaginal Progesterone on Pregnancy Outcome in Singletons with an Ultrasonically Short Cervix (5 RCT's) An Individual Patient Meta-analysis

Romero et al: Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011:206(2) 124

## Romero et al, AJOG 2012 Individual Patient Data Meta-analysis

- 5 trials, 775 women, 827 infants
- Vaginal progesterone treatment for TVU CL ≤ 25 mm (asymptomatic)
- 42% reduction in PTB < 33 weeks</p>
- 43% reduction in composite neonatal morbidity and mortality
- 25% significant reduction in NICU admissions

laides K, Conde-Agudelo A, et al. Vaginal progesterone in women with an asymptomatic sonographi and neonatal morbidity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data. , Am J Obi

 No significant differences between treatment and placebo groups in rates of adverse maternal events or congenital anomalies



### Results: Romero et al











## Need to Treat for Benefit

Vaginal progesterone for short cervix

- Treat 11 patients to prevent 1 PTB < 33 weeks</p>
- Treat 14 patients to prevent 1 case of RDS
- Magnesium sulfate for pre-eclampsia
  - Treat 100 patients to prevent 1 case of eclampsia
- Antenatal corticosteroids during preterm labor
  - Treat 13 patients to prevent 1 case of RDS

| terone or<br>ether Pat<br>Preterm E | n the Primary<br>ients had a<br>3irth        | Outcome  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Preter                              | m birth before 3<br>gestation                | 3 weeks  |
| n                                   | RR<br>(95% CI)                               | Interaction<br>p value   |
|                                     |  | 0.68   |
| 606                                 | 0.61<br>(0.42-0.89)                          |  |
| 169                                 | 0.54<br>(0.30-0.98)                          |  |
|                                     | ether Pat<br>Preterm I<br>Preter<br>n<br>606 | reterm Birth<br>Preterm Birth<br>Preterm birth before 3<br>gestation<br>n RR<br>(95% Cl)<br>606 0.61<br>(0.42-0.89)<br>169 0.54<br>(0.30-0.98) |

| The Effect of Vaginal Progesterone on Composite Neonatal<br>Morbidity/Mortality According to Whether Mothers had a<br>Previous Preterm Birth |                                     |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Compo                               | osite neonatal mor  | oidity/mortality  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | n                                   | RR<br>(95% CI)  | Interaction<br>p value                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obstetric history  |                                     |   | 0.40  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With no previous preterm birth   | 658                                 | 0.62<br>(0.43-0.91)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| With ≥1 previous preterm birth   | 169                                 | 0.41<br>(0.17-0.96)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Romero R. 1<br>Creasy G, Klein K, Rode L, Soma-Pil   | Nicolaides K, Ci<br>lay P, Fusey S, | onde-Agudelo A, Tabor A, O'Brien J<br>Cam C, Alfirevic Z, Hassan S Am J | , Cetingoz E, DA Fonseca E,<br>Obstet Gynecol 2011;12:003 |  |  |  |  |  |



## Does the effect of vaginal progesterone vary as a function of cervical length?







|                                       | Compos | ite neonatal morbid | ity/mortality          |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                                       | n      | RR<br>(95% CI)      | Interaction<br>p value |
| Daily dose of vaginal<br>progesterone | /      |                     | 0.92                   |
| 90-100 mg                             | 511    | 0.58 (0.35-0.95)    |                        |
| 200 mg                                | 316    | 0.56 (0.34-0.94)    |                        |



### Romero et al IPD Meta-analysis Progesterone for Women with Short Cervix

- Reduction in rates of spontaneous premature delivery at all gestational ages from < 28 to < 37 weeks.
- Impact greatest in preventing PTD at the very earliest gestational ages
- Impact in women with Cervical lengths < 10-25 mm, but only in subgroups 10-20mm
- Reduction seen in women with and without a history of previous preterm delivery
- No significant reduction in twins (underpowered/positive trend

## Vaginal Progesterone – The OPPTIMUM Study

Norman et al: Lancet on line Feb 23, 2016

- Multicenter RCT in UK of patients with either a short cervix (<25mm) or a previous PTB</li>
- Randomized 1228 women daily 200mg vaginal P vs. placebo
- Outcome
  - Delivery < 34 weeks:</li>
     P 16.0% Placebo 18.1% (0.64)
  - Outcomes
    - NN Morbidity or Death: P 6.6%, Placebo 10.2% (0.38-1.03)
    - Mod. to Severe Neurologic Impairment: P 12%, Placebo 9% (0.98-2.15)

## Universal Cervical Length Screening? Cost-Benefit Analysis

Assumptions (based on Fonseca data) Singleton Prior PTB cases (7.3%) would get 17Pc if no TVCL One TVCL screen during anatomy scan, cost \$52

TVCL < 15 mm in 1.2% of population Vaginal P4 will reduce PTB<34 weeks from 30% - 18%

Results (per 100,000 women screened) \$125 Million saved 200 quality-adjusted life-years gained 141 cases of serious neonatal morbidity prevented Universal screening better than screening targeted at women with prior PTB

## Universal Cervical Length Screening? Cost-Benefit Analysis

#### Assumptions (based on Fonseca data)

Singleton

No prior PTB Single TVCL screen at 18-24 weeks, cost \$187 TVCL < 15 mm in 1.7% of population Vaginal P4 will reduce PTB<34 weeks from 32% to 17%

#### Results (per 100,000 women screened)

#### \$12.1 Million saved

434 quality-adjusted life-years gained 22 neonatal deaths or neuro deficit prevented Robust across wide range of costs & assumptions

Werner et al., Ultrasound Ob Gyn 2011

## Transabdominal Ultrasound

- · Designed to image the baby
- Routine fetal anatomy scan at 18-22 weeks
  - Protocol includes looking at the cervix
  - Full bladder often elongates and distorts cervix
- Per ACOG/SMFM
  - Not reliable nor reproducible as a screening method
  - Not sufficient evidence to suggest benefit of TAU screening for progesterone or other intervention

## Friedman et al: Can transabdominal ultrasound be used as a screening test for short cervical length? AJOG 2013 208 (3):190

- Screened 1217 Patients at 16-24 weeks with both TA and TV US

  - To have a 96% confidence of identifying these 76 women they needed to have a TA 6.2% could not be screened due to
  - 54% (657/1217) had a TA CL <36 mm
  - So with screening with TA US you will still need to do TV on 60% of women to identify almost all women who will have a TV CL of <25mm

## Transvaginal Ultrasound

- Designed to image the reproductive organs
- Diagnose complications (e.g., cervical shortening, funneling, amniotic sludge or debris)
- Used in clinical trials of vaginal progesterone
- Per ACOG/SMFM

  - Proper technique, quality control, monitoring essential
- Perinatal Quality Foundation

  - Image review
  - CME credit



## The Arabin Pessary for the Prevention of PTB with a Short Cervix



## Two Large RCT's of Pessary for a Short Cervix

- PECEP Study (Goya et al:Lancet 2012;379, 1800-06.
  - 385 women with CL < 25mm</p>
  - Delivery < 34 weeks (primary outcome)</li>
     6% pessary, 27% control (RR 0.24, 0.13-0.43)
  - Delivery < 28 weeks 2% pessary 8% control (RR)
  - Tur (Am 5 Perinat 2013 Apr, 30(4
  - 108 women with CL <25</p>
  - Delivery < 34 weeks</p>

## RCT of Pessary in Twins

Liem et al: Lancet, 2013 382:1341

- RCT of all women with multiple pregnancy
- 40 Hospitals in the Netherlands
- 403 pessary, 410 control
- No overall difference in preterm birth or composite overall outcome
- In women with cervical length < 25<sup>th</sup> %
  - Composite nn morbidity 12% pessary, 29% control(RR 0.42, (0.19-0.91)
  - PTD < 32 weeks 12% pessary, 28% control (RR 0.43, 0.21-0.89

## Recent RCT of Pessary in Twins Nicolaides et al: AJOG 2016

- Randomized unselected twins to pessary at 20-24 weeks vs. routine care
   N = 1180 (590 in each group)
- No differences in outcome
  - = Delivery < 24 weeks = 12.6%
  - Adverse NN outcome 10.0% vs. 9.2%
- Subanalysis of 214 women with a short cervix
- <25mm showed not benefit either



### Society Guidelines: Progesterone to Prevent Preterm Birth





## **Recommendations for Routine Cervical Length Screening**

- SMFM
  - The issue of universal TVU CL screening of singleton gestations without prior PTB for the prevention of PTB remains an object of debate. CL screening in singleton gestations without prior PTB cannot yet be universally mandated. Nonetheless, implementation of such a screening strategy can be viewed as reasonable, and can be considered by individual arcetilizers.
- - Although this document does not mandate universal cervical length screening in women without a prior preterm birth, this screening strategy may be considered. Practitioners who decide to implement universal cervical length screening should follow one of the protocols for transvaginal measurement of cervical length from the clinical trials on this subject
- - Application of evidence based strategies to effectively screen women at
    potential risk for preterm birth should be accessible and available to every
    woman including strategies to assess cervical length in order to implement
    timely prevention strategies

## Progesterone and preterm birth prevention: translating clinical trials data into clinical practice nal-Fetal Medicine Public

GRETIER: We sugget to greate an encode band sources to real program where the second sources of the second sou

Key words: 17-alpha-hydroxy progesterone caproate, cervical length, preterm birth prior preterm birth, progestagens, vaginal progesterone

What are the mechanism of and safety data of progest (Levels 8 and 10) action and sat

## **SMFM Recommendations**

- In women with singletons, no prior ptb, <24</p> weeks with cervical length < 20 mm, vaginal progesterone 90 or 200 mg is associated with a reduction in PTB and PN morbidity and mortality and can be offered in these cases
- The issue of routine screening of all pregnant women is a subject of debate but such a screening strategy can be viewed as reasonable.....

## SMFM Recommendations (cont.)

- In singleton pregnancies with a prior (spontaneous) PTB prior to 37 weeks, 17 OH Prog caproate 250 mg IM q week starting at 16-20 weeks until 36 weeks is recommended
- In these women with a prior PTB and whom the cervix shortens to < 25 mm, cervical cerclage may (also) be offered.
- Progesterone has NOT been associated with a reduction in PTB in patients with multiple pregnancy, preterm labor or PPROM
- Transabdominal screening for cervical length is not recommended.



## What are the concerns with routine endovaginal ultrasound screening?

- Lack of training and consistency in doing transvaginal US for cervical length
- "Indication Creep"
- What to do with 20-25 mm
- Lack of availability in some areas
- Applies to only about 2% of women
- Many ultrasounds for those needed to treat



### **Progestins for Twins & Triplets** RCTs, Outcome = PTB <32 or <34 weeks





## Progesterone in Patients with Preterm Labor

|  | Progeste  | rone  | Contr   | ol                                    |   | Risk Ratio   |                                     | Risk Ratio                                |    |
|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|----|
| Study or Subgroup  | Events  | Total   | Events  | Total                                 | Weight  | M-H, Random, 95% CI  | Year                                | M-H, Random, 95% Cl                       |    |
| Facchinetti 2007   | 5   | 30  | 17  | 30                                    | 17.5%   | 0.29 [0.12, 0.69]  | 2007                                |   |    |
| Rozenberg 2012   | 37  | 94  | 36  | 94                                    | 37.3%   | 1.03 (0.72, 1.47)  | 2012                                |   |    |
| Briery 2014  | 19  | 22  | 22  | 23                                    | 45.2%   | 0.90 (0.75, 1.09)  | 2014                                | -   |    |
| Total (95% CI)   |   | 146   |   | 147                                   | 100.0%  | 0.78 [0.50, 1.22]  |                                     | -   |    |
| Total events   | 61  |   | 75  |                                       |   |  |                                     |   |    |
| Test for overall effect  | Z = 1.09 (F   | = 7.88,<br>= 8.27)  | di = 2 (P.)   | = 0.02)                               | r=/5%   |  |                                     | 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5<br>Progesterone Control | 10 |
|  | Saco  | cone  | et al   | : AJ                                  | OG 2<br>Vaqi  | 2015: PTB <  | 37 w                                | reeks                                     |    |
|  | Saco  | cone  | et al   | : AJ                                  | OG 2<br>Vagi  | 2015: PTB <  | 37 w                                | reeks Risk Ratio                          |    |
| Study or Subgroup  | Saco<br>Progest<br>PTB  | erone<br>Total  | et al   | : AJ                                  | OG 2<br>Vagi<br>Weight                                      | 2015: PTB <<br>nal P<br>Risk Ratio<br>M-H, Randem, 95% CI  | 37 w                                | Risk Ratio<br>M-H, Randern, 95% Cl        |    |
| Study or Subgroup<br>Sharami 2010  | Saco<br>Progest<br>PTB<br>33  | erone<br>Total<br>80  | et al   | rol<br>Total                          | OG 2<br>Vagi<br>Weight                                      | 2015: PTB <<br>nal P<br>Risk Ratio<br>MH, Randern, 95% CI<br>0.76 (0.55, 1.06)   | 37 w                                | Risk Ratio<br>M-H, Randem, 95% Cl         |    |
| Study or Subgroup<br>Sharami 2010<br>Arikan 2011   | Progest<br>PTB<br>33<br>20  | erone<br>Total<br>80<br>43                                      | Cont<br>PTB<br>45<br>28                                 | rol<br>Total<br>83<br>40              | OG 2<br>Vagi<br>Weight<br>50.0%<br>37.4%                    | 2015: PTB <<br>nal P<br>Risk Ratio<br>M.H. Randem, 95% CI<br>0.76 (0.55, 1.06)<br>0.66 (0.45, 0.97)  | 37 w                                | Risk Ratio<br>M-H, Random, 95% CI         |    |
| Study or Subgroup<br>Sharami 2010<br>Arikan 2011<br>Areia 2013   | Progest<br>PTB<br>33<br>20<br>9                                       | erone<br>Total<br>80<br>43<br>26                                | et al   | rol<br>Total<br>83<br>40<br>26        | OG 2<br>Vagi<br>Weight<br>50.0%<br>37.4%<br>12.6%           | 2015: PTB <<br>nal P<br>Risk Ratio<br>M-H, Random, 95% CI<br>0.66 (0.45, 0.37)<br>0.69 (0.36, 1.33)  | <b>Year</b><br>2010<br>2011<br>2013 | Risk Ratio<br>M4L Random, 95% CI          |    |
| Study or Subgroup<br>Sharami 2010<br>Anikan 2011<br>Areia 2013<br>Total (95% Cl)   | Progest<br>PTB<br>33<br>20<br>9                                       | erone<br>Total<br>80<br>43<br>26<br>149                         | et al   | rol<br>Total<br>83<br>40<br>26<br>149 | OG 2<br>Vagi<br>weight<br>50.0%<br>37.4%<br>12.6%           | 2015: PTB <<br>Risk Ratio<br>M4, Random, 95% CI<br>0.76 [0.55, 1.06]<br>0.66 [0.45, 0.87]<br>0.69 [0.36, 1.33]<br>0.71 [0.57, 0.90]  | <b>Year</b><br>2010<br>2011<br>2013 | Risk Ratio<br>MH, Random, 95% CI          |    |
| Study or Subgroup<br>Sharami 2010<br>Arikan 2011<br>Areia 2013<br>Total (95% CI)<br>Total events   | Progest<br>PTB<br>33<br>20<br>9                                       | erone<br>Total<br>80<br>43<br>26<br>149                         | et al   | rol<br>Total<br>83<br>40<br>26<br>149 | OG 2<br>Vagi<br>Weight<br>50.0%<br>37.4%<br>12.6%           | 2015: PTB <<br>nal P<br>Nek Ratio<br>MH, Randern, 95% CI<br>0.769 (0.36, 1.03)<br>0.69 (0.36, 1.33)<br>0.71 (0.57, 0.90)   | <b>Year</b><br>2010<br>2011<br>2013 | Pisk Ratio<br>MH, Random, 99% CI          |    |
| Study of Subgroup<br>Sharami 2010<br>Arikan 2011<br>Areia 2013<br>Total (95% CI)<br>Total events<br>Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>a</sup> .                            | Progest<br>PTS<br>33<br>20<br>9<br>62<br>= 0.00; Chi                  | erone<br>Total<br>80<br>43<br>26<br>149                         | et al   | rol<br>Total<br>83<br>40<br>26<br>149 | OG 2<br>Vagi<br>Weight<br>50.0%<br>37.4%<br>12.6%<br>100.0% | 2015: PTB <<br>Risk Ratio<br>MH, Randern, 95% CI<br>0.76 (0.55, 1.06)<br>0.66 (0.45, 0.97)<br>0.69 (0.36, 1.33)<br>0.71 (0.57, 0.90)   | <b>Year</b><br>2010<br>2011<br>2013 | Risk Ratio<br>MH. Random, 99% CI          | 10 |
| Study or Subgroup<br>Sharami 2010<br>Anican 2011<br>Areia 2013<br>Total (95% Cl)<br>Total events<br>Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> -<br>Test for overall effect | Progest<br>PTB<br>33<br>20<br>9<br>62<br>= 0.00; Chil<br>t Z = 2.84 ( | erone<br>Total<br>80<br>43<br>26<br>149<br>*= 0.29,<br>P = 0.00 | Cont<br>PTB<br>45<br>28<br>13<br>86<br>df = 2 (P<br>(5) | rol<br>Total<br>83<br>40<br>26<br>149 | OG 2<br>Vagi<br>50.0%<br>37.4%<br>12.5%<br>100.0%           | 2015: PTB <<br>nal P<br>Risk Ratio<br>MH, Random, 95% Cl<br>0.66 (0.45, 0.27)<br>0.68 (0.35, 1.06)<br>0.66 (0.35, 1.06)<br>0.66 (0.35, 1.06)<br>0.67 (0.55, 1.06)<br>0.71 (0.57, 0.90) | <b>Year</b><br>2010<br>2011<br>2013 | Prock Ratio           M44, Rendem, 95% C1 | 10 |















## Followup, Mean Age 48 months From Meis 2003 Trial, history of prior PTB

| Scores below Cut-off on<br>Ages & Stages Questionnairre | 17Pc<br>(n=193) | Placebo<br>(n=82) | Ρ        |   |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|----------|---|
| Communication   | 11%             | 11%               | NS       |   |
| Gross motor   | 3%              | 4%                | NS       |   |
| Fine motor  | 21%             | 18%               | NS       |   |
| Problem-solving   | 10%             | 11%               | NS       |   |
| Diagnoses from Health Prof'l                            |                 |                   |          |   |
| Motor skills problem                                    | 1%              | 1%                | NS       |   |
| Developmental delay                                     | 7%              | 8%                | NS       |   |
| Attention or learning problem                           | 8%              | 10%               | NS       | 8 |
| Northen et  | al. Obstet Gvn  | ecol 110:865-     | 72. 2007 |   |



## Long Term Follow up of Babies from Progesterone Trials

- Rode et al n(2011): US Ob Gyn: N = 433. Mean ASQ scores at 6 Robe et al (2017), US OB GPT, N = 435, when NSG scores at months and 18 months were not significantly different between the two groups (215 for infants in the progesterone group and 218 for infants in the placebo group at 6 months (P = 0.45) and 193 and 194, respectively, at 18 months (P = 0.89)).
- McNamara et al (2015): N=759 There was no evidence of difference between the progesterone and placebo groups in global health status assessed using the Health Utilities Index
  O'Brien et al (2011): N=229-445. Developmental f/u and morbid condition comparison at 6, 12 and 24 months, no difference

Norman et al (2016): 869 no difference

Cognitive Composite score at 2 years, mean (SD)

97.7 (17.5)<sup>b</sup> n=439 97.3 (17.9)<sup>c</sup> n=430 0.48 (-2.77, 1.81) 0.680, p<sub>ad</sub>=0.680

21

## **Progesterone Costs**

- Makena (170HP)
  - Retail \$680/dose, copay about \$70
  - Compounded generally not available due to FDA
- Vaginal Progesterone
  - Crinone gel about \$15/day

  - Compounded Progesterone cheap if available

## Summary

- Progesterone lowers the rate of premature delivery and avoids many associated morbidities in:
  - Patients with a history of a prior PTB Patients with a short cervix

  - In patients with a short cervix and a prior preterm birth both a cerclage and progesterone used together creates the optimum outcome
- Progesterone does not lower the rate of preterm birth in patients with multiple gestations
- Vaginal ultrasound for cervical length may become routine in all pregnant women
- Based on available data, progesterone is safe.