

Alphabet Soup: SIRS, SOFA, qSOFA and MEWS

SIRS – Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (1991)

Defines a clinical response to a nonspecific insult of either infectious or noninfectious origin. SIRS is defined as two or more of the following variables:

- Fever of more than 38°C (100.4°F) or less than 36°C (96.8°F)
- Heart rate of more than 90 beats per minute
- Respiratory rate of more than 20 breaths per minute or arterial carbon dioxide tension (PaCO₂) of less than 32 mmHG
- Abnormal white blood cell count (> 12,000/µL or < 4,000/µL or > 10% immature bands)

SIRS is nonspecific and can be caused by ischemia, inflammation, trauma, infection or several insults combined. Thus, SIRS is not always related to infection (Kaplan, 2018).

SOFA – Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (1996)

The SOFA tool uses a scoring system that evaluates six key system measures: respiration, coagulation, liver, cardiovascular, central nervous system and renal. "For clinical operationalization, organ dysfunction can be represented by an increase in the SOFA score of 2 points or more . . . a higher SOFA score is associated with an increased probability of mortality" (Singer, 2016). The SOFA scoring tool is used in the intensive care unit (ICU). "A score of two or more (see chart below) and a suspicion of infection is indicative of sepsis" (SIRS, SOFA, qSOFA, and MEWS – The Alphabet Soup, 2018).

System	Score							
	0	1	2	3	4			
Respiration	≥400	<400	<300	<200	<100			
PaO ₂ /FIO ₂ (mmHg)								
Coagulation	≥150	<150	<100	<50	<20			
Platelets 10 ³ /mm ³								
Liver	<1.2	1.2-1.9	2.0-5.9	6.0-11.9	>12.0			
Bilirubin (mg/dL)								
Cardiovascular	MAP ≥70 mmHG	MAP <70 mmHg	Dopamine <5 or	Dopamine 5.1-15 or	Dopamine >15 or			
Hypotension			dobutamine (any)	norepinephrine ≤0.1	norepinephrine >0.1			
CNS	15	13-14	10-12	6-9	<6			
Glasgow Coma Score								
Renal	<1.2	1.2-1.9	2.0-3.4	3.5-4.9	>5.0			
Creatinine (mg/dL)								

qSOFA – quick Sepsis Related Organ Failure Assessment (2016)

The qSOFA score (also known as quickSOFA) is a bedside prompt that may identify patients with suspected infection who are at greater risk for a poor outcome in areas <u>outside the ICU</u>. It uses three criteria, assigning one point for low blood pressure (SBP \leq 100 mmHg), high respiratory rate (\geq 22 breaths per min) or altered mentation (Glasgow coma scale < 15). If a patient has two out of three variables, they are at greater risk for needing ICU care and have a poorer prognosis. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3) recommends replacing SIRS criteria with qSOFA (Singer, 2016).



MEWS – Modified Early Warning Score (2001)

This scoring system assigns a number between zero and three to six vital signs: respiratory rate, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, conscious level, temperature and hourly urine output. A total score \geq four is often used as an indicator to contact a provider or trigger an alert (Early Warning Systems: Scorecards That Save Lives, n.d.).

MEWS (Modified Early Warning System)											
	3	2	1	0	1	2	3				
Respiratory Rate		Less than 8		9-14	15-20	21-29	More than 30				
per minute											
Heart Rate per		Less than 40	40-50	51-100	101-110	111-129	More than				
minute							129				
Systolic Blood	Less than 70	71-80	81-100	101-199		More than					
Pressure						200					
Conscious level	U nresponsive	Responds to	Responds to	Alert	New agitation						
(AVPU)		Pain	Voice		Confusion						
Temperature (°C)		Less than	35.1-36	36.1-38	38.1-38.5	More than					
		35.0				38.6					
Hourly Urine For	Less than 10	Less than 30	Less than 45								
2 Hours	mLs/hr	mLs/hr	mLs/hr								

Why use these tools?

These clinical screening tools are used for early detection of a decompensating patient or for the presence of infection and presumed sepsis. SOFA and qSOFA are better in their prognostic capabilities of poor clinical outcome vs. identification of condition. If SOFA or qSOFA are used exclusively for identification some patients with sepsis will be missed. MEWS is not specific to sepsis but is able to capture a patient who is declining better than a health care team that may only see a snapshot of a patient's status. All tools need health care team validation for clinical expertise and judgment.

What about sensitivity and specificity?

Each tool has its own level of sensitivity and specificity. For example, one study found that SIRS is more sensitive, but qSOFA is more specific (Fernando, 2018). MEWS has been found to be more sensitive, but less specific. It is better to cast a wide net and catch more than is necessary than to cast a single line and miss what you need.

References

- Early Warning Systems: Scorecards That Save Lives. (n.d.). From Institute for Healthcare Improvement website. Retrieved at http://www.ihi.org/resources/Pages/ImprovementStories/EarlyWarningSystemsScorecardsThatSaveLives.aspx
- Fernando, S. M., Tran, A., Taljaard, M., Cheng, W., Rochwerg, B., Seely, A. J. E., & Perry, J. J. (2018). Prognostic accuracy of the quick sequential organ failure assessment for mortality in patients with suspected infection. *Ann of Intern Med*, V168(4), 266-276. DOI: 10.7326/M17-2820
- Kaplan, L.J. (2018). Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome. Retrieved from: https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/168943overview
- Singer, M., Deutschman, C. S., Seymour, C. W., Shankar-Hari, M., Annane, D., Bauer, M., . . . Angus, D. C. (2016). The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA, 315(8), 801-810. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.0287
- SIRS, SOFA, qSOFA, and MEWS The Alphabet Soup. (2019). From dascena.com website. Retrieved at https://www.dascena.com/articles/sirs-sofa-qsofa-and-mews-the-alphabet-soup

